

May 9, 2002

Ms. Magalie Roman Salas, Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, S.W., Room TW-B204  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: *Ex parte* Meetings With Matthew Brill, Daniel Gonzalez,  
Kyle Dixon And Jordan Goldstein Regarding The SLC  
Cost Study Review, CC Dockets Dos. 96-262 and 94-1

Dear Ms. Salas:

As required by Section 1.1206(b) of the Commission's Rules I am filing two copies of this letter and ask that you place this Notification in the Record of the Proceedings identified above. On April 26, on behalf of NASUCA, David Gabel and I met with Matthew Brill, Legal Assistant for Commissioner Kathleen Abernathy and with Daniel Gonzalez, Legal Assistant for Commissioner Kevin Martin to discuss NASUCA's position in the above-referenced matter. We had similar meetings with Kyle Dixon, Chairman Michael Powell's Legal Assistant, and Jordan Goldstein, Commissioner Michael Copps' Legal Assistant on May 1. At these meetings, we discussed the cost studies filed by NASUCA which NASUCA believes demonstrate that the Subscriber Line Charge should not be raised above the current \$5 cap. We also discussed our Reply Comments which we believe demonstrate that the cost studies filed by the Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers cannot be relied upon because the studies themselves were not filed and there is no way to examine the inputs and assumptions included in the cost study models. We also discussed the fact that the UNE rates established by state public service commissions are consistent with the cost estimates produced by the study used by

Ms. Magalie Roman Salas  
May 9, 2002  
Page 2

NASUCA in this case. We also discussed generally the methodology used by NASUCA in its cost study submissions and the use of an interstate Universal Service Fund to support those lines for which the Federal Subscriber Line Charge does not recover forward/looking economic costs.

Sincerely,

Michael J. Travieso  
People's Counsel

MJT:sd